(3)

(1)

O.S. 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2494/2004

PETITIONER : Mahettru Ratre S/o. Nankun Ratre
Aged about 57 years, R/o. Village
Bargan, P.O. Junapara, Tahsil
Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Water Resources, Department
Secretariat, Raipur (C.G.)

2] Chief Engineer
Hasdev Kachhar Project,
Department of Water Resources
Bilaspur (C.G.)

3] Executive Engineer
Water Resources Department,
Maniyari, Division Mungeli,
Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 8492/04
Presented by Shri Sameer Sahu
18-8-04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(20)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench : Satish K.Agnihotri, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 4741 of 2004

Mittu Ram

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 2494 of 2004

Mahettru

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 2443 of 2002

Yadram

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

Mr. Sameer Sahu, Mr. K.R.Nair and Mrs. Indira Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioner(s).

Present : Mr. P.S. Koshy, learned Dy. Advocate General & Mr. Vivek Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh.

ORDER

(Passed on 19th September 2005)

The petitioners in this batch of Writ Petitions are working as casual labour gangs. The date of retirement was 55 years, as per circular dated 09/03/2000. The respondent/State subsequently by circular dated 16/08/2004 increased the age of retirement from 55 years to 60 years. This Court in W.P. No. 1914/2004 and other connected matters has considered this dispute as to whether the casual labour gangs who have retired on attaining the age of 55 years be given the benefit of the circular dated 16/08/2004 for the purpose of retirement on attaining the age of 60 years.

(21)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that these petitions are squarely covered by order dated 15/09/2005 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1914/2004 and other connected matters.

Learned counsel appearing for the State has no objection.

Accordingly, the petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 15/09/2005 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1914/2004 and other connected matters.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge